UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,646	12/07/2001	Peter W. Bringmann	BERLX 87	7678	
7590 08/15/2007 NEIL G. MIYAMOTO			EXAMINER		
BERLEX BIOS	SCIENCES	SAOUD, CHRISTINE J			
2600 HILLTOP DRIVE P.O. BOX 4099 RICHMOND, CA 94804-0099			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			· .	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	08/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,646	BRINGMANN ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Christine J. Saoud	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This application is abandoned in view of:	·	
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off	fice letter mailed on 25 November :	2006
(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the contraction).	f Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fi Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		attempt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL 		ithin the statutory period of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by	y 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ <u>·</u>
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). 	equired by, and within the three-mo	nth period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or	Transmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. 	the attorney or agent of record, the	assignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	an attorney or agent (acting in a re	presentative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interf of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla 		cause the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
		HRISTINE J. SAOUD RIMARY EXAMINER
	Ch	ustine J. Saoud
		•
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withon minimize any negative effects on patent term.	draw the holding of abandonment under	r 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to
S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070814
		i ait oi i apei 110. 200/0014

	10/005,646 BRINGMANN ET AL.					
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christine J. Saoud	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:						
(a) it was not timely filed.						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).						
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$						
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.						
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:						
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).						
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insu	fficient. The brief fee required by	37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$				
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).						
3. ☑ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED t	pecause:					
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(b)						
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on						
(d) other: <u>applicant has indicated that a cont</u>	tinuing application has been filed	(21 June 2007 correspondence).				
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this ap	oplication:					
(a) 🗵 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.						
 (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED. 						
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.						
CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER						
•		istine J. Sound				
•						

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-461 (Rev. 9-04) Applicant(s)